



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/837,459	04/18/97	MCKEE	M 4995.0023

HM32/0520
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT &
DUNNER
1300 I STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

EXAMINER	
PORTNER, V	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1641	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/837,459

Applicant(s)

McKee et al

Examiner

Portner

Group Art Unit

1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Portner

(3) _____

(2) Ms. Laural S. Boone (App. Rep.)

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 5, 1999Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: all of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

all of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the amended claims submitted on March 3, 1999.

Original claim 28 was discussed with respect to generically claiming Applicant's invention. Original claim 29 set forth a single species of invention which was examined on the merits in paper number 11, specifically antibodies to EHEC.

Newly submitted and amended claim 28 is now no longer a generic claim, but now recites a very specific species of invention not previously recited in the claims which were examined and made of record in the first action on the merits in paper number 11, wherein the claimed antibodies specifically bind to both EHEC and EPEC and evidence binding which


blocks binding of E. coli to a mammalian cell.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.